

More than Zero: Diagnosing unknown attacks using abductive reasoning

Kushal Ramkumar, Wanling Cai, Gavin Doherty, John McCarthy, Bashar Nuseibeh, Liliana Pasquale

1

Unknown attacks are on the rise and pose a major challenge to providing sustainable security

Zero-Day Attacks Technical Blind Spots

For long lived systems such as smart homes, providing enduring security requires

DETECTION
behavioural anomalies

DIAGNOSIS
violated security requirements

MITIGATION
select security controls

References:

- Mady Stone. 2022. The More You Know, The More You Know You Don't Know (April 2022). Retrieved September 20, 2022 from <https://googleprojectzero.blogspot.com/2022/04/the-more-you-know-more-you-know-you.html>
- Pasquale, L., Ramkumar, K., Cai, W., McCarthy, J., Doherty, G., & Nuseibeh, B. (2023). Sustainable Adaptive Security. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.04481.

2

Research Objective: to implement a novel technique that detects (anomaly detection) and diagnoses (abductive reasoning) unknown attacks in a smart home

Terminology

- Diagnosis:** identification of violated security requirement^[1] and class of attack of an anomaly
- Abductive reasoning:** process that maps effect to cause, to generate explanations.

Techniques

- Answer set programming (ASP):** declarative programming paradigm that identifies violations of the rules that govern a given model
- Abduction by refutation:** identify which conditions (security requirements) prevent a contradiction (anomaly) from existing

References:

- Haley, C., Laney, R., Moffett, J., & Nuseibeh, B. (2008). Security requirements engineering: A framework for representation and analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 34(1), 133-153. Chicago
- Paul, G. (1993). Approaches to abductive reasoning: an overview. *Artificial Intelligence review*, 7(2), 109-152.
- Kaminski, R., Schaub, T., & Wanko, P. (2017). A tutorial on hybrid answer set solving with clingo. *Reasoning Web. Semantic Interoperability on the Web: 13th International Summer School 2017, London, UK, July 7-11, 2017, Tutorial Lectures 13*, 167-203.
- Russo, A., Miller, R., Nuseibeh, B., & Kramer, J. (2002). An abductive approach for analysing event-based requirements specifications. In *Logic Programming: 18th International Conference, ICLP 2002 Copenhagen, Denmark, July 29-August 1, 2002 Proceedings 18* (pp. 22-37). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

3 **OUR TECHNIQUE:**

Attack Detection

- Benign Network Training Data
- LEARN NORMAL NETWORK BEHAVIOUR: Use unsupervised learning algorithms to model normal behaviour
- MODEL THE SYSTEM: Describe the actions of the system and its security requirements
- Benign & Anomalous Test Data
- ANOMALY DETECTOR: Unsupervised machine learning anomaly detector using iForest

Attack Diagnosis

- MODEL ANOMALIES AS SYSTEM ACTIONS: Convert anomalies to ASP atoms augmented with contextual data
- MODEL OF SYSTEM & SECURITY REQUIREMENTS: Logic program with system actions and rules created using Answer Set Programming (ASP)
- DIAGNOSE ANOMALY USING ABDUCTION: Identify the violated security requirement using an abduction by refutation algorithm

4 **EVALUATION:**

Datasets: CICIOt2023 and IoT-23 contain 18 attacks against 8 real devices

Metric: F1-score for a balance of identifying most anomalies (recall) with detecting true anomalies (precision).

Results:

- Detection:** Anomaly detector shows **f1-score > 0.80**.
- Diagnosis:** With sufficient *contextual data*, effectively *reduces false positives* of the anomaly detector and *identifies violated security requirements* with an **f1-score > 0.83**.

Discussion:

- The anomaly detection technique is effective when the benign and malicious data show distinct behaviours (see HTTP Flood and Malware Upload).
- The performance of the diagnosis technique is dependent on the contextual factors provided (see Kenjiro botnet misdiagnosed as DoS instead of DDoS)